

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,615	03/22/2004	Takaaki Ota	SONY-50R4614.CIP	2638
WAGNER, M	7590 07/02/200 URABITO & HAO LLI	EXAMINER		
Third Floor Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113			TAYLOR, JOSHUA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/806,615	OTA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOSHUA TAYLOR	2623	

	JOSHUA TAYLOR	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFt 1:136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO prior or reply is specified above, the measurem statutory point with apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO prior or reply is specified above, the measurem statutory point will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter term adjustment. See 37 CFt 1:70 and filer the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ap	ril 2008.						
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Dansus							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	0-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa) All b) Some * c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	r-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1							

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CE)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/16/2008.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
 _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: __
- Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 4/16/2008, with respect to the objection to claims 7, 22 and 24, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection to claims 7, 22 and 24 has been withdrawn

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, 23, 24, 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, applicant contends that Reitmeier does not disclose wherein the digital content comprises decoded I-frames of the new channel. Examiner refers applicant to new grounds of rejection for claims 5 and 12, where Reitmeier discloses decoding and storing I-frames associated with the desired new channel (Reitmeier, column 10, lines 5-7).

Regarding claims 8, 15, and 16, applicant contends that Reitmeier does not disclose that the digital content cached is associated with a channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on a previous channel selections, as claimed. Examiner refers applicant to new grounds of rejection for claims 8, 15, and 16, where Reitmeier discloses information about predicting which channel a user is likely to tune to (column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61).

Art Unit: 2623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitmeier (Patent No.: 6,118,498) in view of Fries et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0078807).

Regarding claim 1, Reitmeier discloses a method for displaying digital content comprising: using a first tuner to access a first transport stream (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 49-56); displaying in a main picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said first transport stream (column 4, lines 64-67); using a second tuner during spare periods to access a second transport stream (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65); decoding digital content from said second transport stream and caching said digital content into a memory buffer (column 5, lines 8-12); and upon said first tuner being switched to a new channel associated with said program information stored in said memory buffer, recalling said digital content for use in providing a fast channel change operation to said new channel (column 9, line 64 – column 10, line 3). However, Reitmeier does not disclose wherein the first transport stream is associated with a first frequency and the second transport stream is associated with a second frequency. Fries,

Art Unit: 2623

however, does (Fig. 3, paragraph [0077], lines 4-9). Fries discloses that multiple tuners can be used to tune to various different frequencies, so that a receiving unit can more easily receive larger amounts of information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the capability of Fries to have multiple tuners receiving multiple frequencies with the method of Reitmeier, where multiple tuners are used to expediate a channel change operation. This would have been a highly desirable feature, as it would allow receiving units to rapidly change channels even if the channels were sent on different frequencies.

Regarding claim 2: A method as described in claim 1 wherein said second tuner is normally dedicated to picture-in-picture rendering on said display screen (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-38, Fig. 1, element V2, column 5, lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 3: A method as described in claim 2 wherein said digital content comprises table information associated with said second transport stream (Reitmeier, column 14, lines 26-40). Reitmeier states that there is a standard manner for extracting program map tables; i.e. table information, from a transport stream. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extract table information. Because one skilled in the art would know that table information is often associated with a transport stream, it would be desirable to combine this element into the method of claim 1 so that said table information could be accessed.

Regarding claim 4: A method as described in claim 3 wherein said table information is derived from a program association table that is encoded in said second transport stream (Reitmeier, column 14, lines 26-40). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 3.

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 5: A method as described in claim 2 wherein said digital content comprises decoded I frames of said new channel (Reitmeier, column 10, lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 6: A method as described in claim 2 further comprising: using said second tuner to scan through a plurality of frequencies over time to access a plurality of transport streams; decoding digital content from said plurality of transport streams; and caching said digital content decoded from said plurality of transport streams in said memory buffer (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 7: A method as described in claim 1 wherein said first transport stream and said second transport stream are the same and wherein said first frequency and said second frequency are the same (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65. The method of Reitmeier discloses only one frequency.).

Regarding claim 8: A method as described in claim 2 wherein said digital content cached to said memory buffer is associated with a channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on previous channel selections (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Claim 9 is similar to the method of claim 1, except that instead of two tuners associated with two frequencies, method 9 discloses three tuners associated with three frequencies. The method of claim 1 was rejected as unpatentable over Reitmeier in view of Fries, and the method

Art Unit: 2623

of claim 9 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 1. Fries discloses a system in which multiple tuners tune to multiple frequencies (paragraph [0077], lines 4-9), and so the obvious combination of Reitmeier and Fries includes a method with three tuners and three frequencies.

Regarding claim 10: The method of claim 9 wherein said second tuner is normally dedicated for picture-in-picture rendering on said display screen (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-38, Fig. 1, element V2, column 5, lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 11: A method as described in claim 9 wherein in response to a channel change to said third tuner, performing the following: using said third tuner to access said third transport stream; displaying in said main picture area of said display screen, said new channel associated with said third transport stream; using said first tuner to access a fourth transport stream associated with a fourth frequency; and decoding digital content from said fourth transport stream and caching said digital content into said memory buffer (Reitmeier, column 15, lines 30-38).

Regarding claim 12: A method as described in claim 9 wherein said digital content comprises decoded I-frames of said new channel (Reitmeier, column 10, lines 5-7). With the digital video compression techniques commonly used at the time of the invention, it was necessary to have an I-frame to view a complete image, and so if the intent is to display a complete image from a digital stream, an I-frame is necessary.

Regarding claim 13: A method as described in claim 12 wherein said digital content further comprises table information associated with said third transport stream (Reitmeier, column 14, lines 26-40). Because one skilled in the art would know that table information is

Art Unit: 2623

often associated with a transport stream, it would be desirable to combine this element into the method of claim 12 so that said table information could be accessed.

Regarding claim 14: A method as described in claim 9 further comprising: using said third tuner to scan through a plurality of frequencies over time to access a plurality of transport streams; decoding digital content from said plurality of transport streams; and caching said digital content decoded from said plurality of transport streams to said memory buffer (Reitmeier, column 15, lines 30-38). It would be desirable to use as many tuners as were available, so as to maximize the number of buffered channels and increase the likelihood of decreased channel change time.

Regarding claim 15: A method as described in claim 9 wherein said second digital content cached to said memory buffer is associated with a channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on previous channel selections (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Regarding claim 16: A method as described in claim 15 wherein said first digital content cached to said memory buffer is associated with another channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on previous channel selections (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable

Art Unit: 2623

feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Regarding claim 17: A method for displaying digital content comprising: using a first tuner to access a first transport stream associated with a first frequency; displaying in a main picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said first transport stream; using a second tuner to access a second transport stream associated with a second frequency; decoding table information from said second transport stream and caching said table information into a memory buffer, said table information comprising program identifications for programs of said second transport stream; and upon a channel change to a new channel associated with said second transport stream, recalling said table information for use in providing a fast channel change operation to said new channel (Reitmeier, column 14, lines 26-40). This claim is rejected on the same basis as claim 1. Because one skilled in the art would know that table information is often associated with a transport stream, it would be desirable to combine this element into this method so that said table information could be accessed

Regarding claim 18: A method as described in claim 17 further comprising: decoding I-frames associated with programs of said second transport stream; and caching said I-frames to said memory buffer; and upon said channel change to said new channel, also recalling cached I-frames for use in providing said fast channel change operation to said new channel (Reitmeier, column 10, lines 5-7). With the digital video compression techniques commonly used at the time of the invention, it was necessary to have an I-frame to view a

Art Unit: 2623

complete image, and so if the intent is to display a complete image from a digital stream, an Iframe is necessary.

Regarding claim 19: A method as described in claim 17 wherein said second tuner is normally dedicated to picture-in-picture rendering on said display screen (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-38, Fig. 1, element V2, column 5, lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 20: A method as described in claim 17 further comprising: using said second tuner to also scan through a plurality of frequencies over time to access a plurality of transport streams; and decoding and caching a plurality of table informations from said plurality of transport streams to said memory buffer (Reitmeier, column 15, lines 30-38). It would be desirable to use as many tuners as were available, so as to maximize the number of buffered channels and increase the likelihood of decreased channel change time.

Regarding claim 21: A method as described in claim 17 wherein said new channel is a predicted next channel predicted based on prior channel selections (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Regarding claim 22: A method as described in claim 17 wherein said first transport stream and said second transport stream are the same (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65. The method of Reitmeier discloses only one frequency.).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 23: A method for displaying digital content comprising: using a first tuner and a first decoder to access and decode a first transport stream associated with a first frequency; displaying in a main picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said first transport stream; using a second decoder to decode a second program; upon a channel change to a new channel associated with said second program, using said second decoder to display in said main picture area of said display screen said second program to provide a fast channel operation to said new channel (Reitmeier, Fig. 1, elements V1, V2, and 40, column 4, lines 50-56). Reitmeier discloses that either stream, V1 or V2, can be selected to be coupled to a video decoder that sends the video onto the main display (column 4, lines 64-67). Fries discloses that multiple tuners can be used to tune to various different frequencies (Fig. 3, paragraph [0077], lines 4-9), so that a receiving unit can more easily receive larger amounts of information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the capability of Fries to have multiple tuners receiving multiple frequencies with the method of Reitmeier, where multiple tuners are used to expediate a channel change operation. This would have been a highly desirable feature, as it would allow receiving units to rapidly change channels even if the channels were sent on different frequencies.

Regarding claim 24: A method as described in claim 23 wherein said first transport stream comprises said second program (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65. The method of Reitmeier discloses only one frequency.).

Regarding claim 25: A method as described in claim 23 wherein said second decoder is a spare decoder and wherein said second program is a predicted next program (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 26: A method as described in claim 23 wherein said second program is associated with a second transport steam and further comprising: using a second tuner to access said second transport stream. This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 23.

Regarding claim 27: A method as described in claim 23 further comprising: using a second tuner and a third decoder to access and decode a second transport stream associated with a second frequency; and displaying in a picture-in-picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said second transport stream (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-37). It would be desirable to use as many tuners as were available, so as to maximize the number of buffered channels and increase the likelihood of decreased channel change time. Also, dedicating the second transport stream to picture-in-picture would have been a desirable feature because it allows a viewer to keep track of what is happening on two channels at once, and if the second data stream is available for viewing, it is very simple to display it in the picture-in-picture area.

Regarding claim 28: A method as described in claim 26 further comprising: using a third tuner and a third decoder to access and decode a third transport stream associated with a third frequency; and displaying in a picture-in-picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said third transport stream (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-37). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 27.

Regarding claim 29: A method as described in claim 26 wherein said second program is a predicted next program further comprising: using a third tuner and a third decoder to

access and decode a third program wherein said third program is a predicted next

program (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JOSHUA TAYLOR whose telephone number is (571)270-3755.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm, M-F, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Josh Taylor/

/Vivek Srivastava/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623